UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/565,395	07/05/2006	Włodzimierz Rutynowski	41114-0325045(POL0010-US 1920		
	7590 04/30/200 NGS, JANOFSKY & V	EXAMINER			
875 15th Street,	, NW	HUANG, LIAN			
Washington, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3731		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/30/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/565,395	RUTYNOWSKI, WLODZIMIERZ		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LIAN HUANG	3731		

	LIAN HUANG	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (a). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	t waise to the date of filing a baist	مط لمصمعهم مطاعم النب	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	11. Soo attached Nation of Non Cor	maliant Amandment (I	OTOL 224)
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		ripliant Amendment (i	- 1 OL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alled non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	kplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>8-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>1/23/06</u>		
/Anhtuan T. Nguyen/	/L. H./		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731	Examiner, Art Unit 3731		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding applicant's arguments concerning claims 8, 9, 17, and 18, where applicant asserts that the prior art fails to teach the side just disposed between the return spring and driving spring, the side juts 354 of Thorne et al. are between said springs in terms of axial placement. They are radially between the two said springs. As for The references not teaching the return spring acting against the side jut, Thorne, Jr. et al. teach the spring 420 exerting force against the hub 352 on which the side juts 354 are disposed column 10, lines 34-43, (thereby acing against the side juts in a direction opposite the driving direction). The fact that the driving spring returns the blade to the inside of the housing does not prevent the teachings of Thorne, Jr. et al. from anticipating the limitations of the claim. In terms of "return" being a positive structural recitation, parts 420 and 430 naturally return the blade to a proper alignment inside the housing given their placement (figures 19 and 20).

Czernecki is used as a teaching reference for the modification of giving the needle breakable wings. Thorne, Jr. et al. fulfill the limitations of teaching a return spring acting against a side jut.

In reply to arguments that there is no motivation to combine Thorne, Jr. et al. and Czernecki, the different operating principle of Thorne, Jr. et al. does not prevent the device from being single-use. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the device be single-use as taught by Czernecki since such a modification greatly reduces the risk of accidental puncture and transmitting disease (column 1, lines 60-67, Czernecki).

For claim 13, "separate" is taken to mean "to space apart" and figure 19 displays the position of the needle after use. The second end of the driving spring is more spaced apart from the needles after deployment than before deployment.

In response to applicant's arguments concerning claim 14, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the pusher and needle separate since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. Nerwin v. Erlichman, 168 USPQ 177, 179. As for the cup-shaped end with a corresponding projection, fixtures and fasteners with complementary surfaces are well-known in the art.

Claim 19 states that the arms need only be integral to the push button, and applicant agrees that the arms are integral after the driving spring is compressed. It has been set forth in the office action that "integral" is sufficiently broad to embrace constructions united by such means as fastening. In re Hotte, 177 USPQ 326, 328 (CCPA 1973). Given this broad definition of "integral," a drastically different construction would not be required for Thorne, Jr. et al. to anticipate the claim. The current claim language is insufficient to overcome the teachings of Thorne, Jr. et al.

Acknowledgement of consideration for the International Search Report has been added to the enclosed Information Disclosure Citation form.